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In the present study an attempt is made to find out the relationship between non-verbal communication and marital adjustment among 120 married couples from Kerman City of Iran referred to government and private counseling and social support centers. Test of Non-verbal Cue Knowledge (TONCK) by Rosip & Hall (2004) and Revised Dyadic Adjustment Scale (RDAS) by Busby, Christensen, Crane and Larson (1995) were employed to measure non-verbal communication levels and marital adjustment, respectively. Two-way ANOVA was employed to find out the difference in marital adjustment scores with different levels of non-verbal communication along with gender, age, educational level and marital years. Results revealed that as the non-verbal communication levels increased, marital adjustment of the couples increased linearly and significantly. Couples with higher educational levels had higher marital adjustment scores than Couples with lower educational levels and couples with above 11 years marriage had higher marital adjustment scores than Couples with 6-10 years marriage and below 5 years marriage. Lastly gender did not have a significant influence over marital adjustment of the Couples.
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Communication plays a central role in marriage and family. For example, communication affects attention and perception, memory for
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messages, and inferences that communicators draw from behaviors, and psychosocial outcomes of family members (Fitzpatrick & Ritchie, 1994). Consistent with survey findings, communication is the most frequently cited problem in marriage such as: divorce, parenting problems, stress related health issues and domestic violence (Gottman, 1999; Kelly, Finchman, Beach, 2003). Communication takes place basically in two ways; verbal and non-verbal. Verbal communication is made through words, either spoken or written. Non-verbal communication is the process of sending and receiving wordless messages by means of facial expressions, touch, distance, gaze, gestures, postures, and tones of voice. Non-verbal cues include all expressive signs, signals and cues (audio, visual, tactile, etc) which are used to send and receive messages apart from manual sign language and speech (Givens, 2005). Psychological studies have estimated that more than 65 percent of the information exchanged during a face-to-face interaction is expressed through non-verbal means (Gyue-Vuilleme 2004).

**Gender and Non-verbal Communication**

Men and women are different in process of communicating in variables such as word choice, conversational style, content of speech, purpose of conversation, purpose of questions, use of silence, listening and speaking style, changing the subject, interrupt and encouragement to continue (Goldschmidt & Weller 2000; Hannah & Murachver, 1999; Heaton & Blake, 1999).

Males and females demonstrate different non-verbal communication patterns. Females interact at closer distances than males (Evans & Howard, 1973) and allow closer approaches from others than men allow (Patterson & Edinger, 1987) females to touch more than males (Hall & Veccia, 1990). In a study, Tannen (1990) found females sit closer to one another and engage in more eye contact. Males, on the other hand, sit at angles to one another, often parallel, and do not look directly into each other’s faces when communicating. Females anchor their gaze on one
another’s face occasionally glancing away, while males anchor their gaze elsewhere in the room and occasionally glance at each other. These communication differences can lead to frustration when communicating with the opposite sex. For example, females who tend to engage in eye contact and prefer to face one another when communicating, may interpret a male’s non-verbal communication behavior of lesser eye contact and a parallel position as signs of disinterest.

**Communication and Marital Adjustment**

According to Dimkpa (2010) marital adjustment, it refers to the ability of individuals to become satisfied, happy and achieve success in a number of specific tasks in marriage. Research has shown that marital adjustment possess an abundance of certain aspects, such as: friendship (Flowers, 1998; Cooper, 1980); similar interpersonal values (Flowers, 1998); maturation synchronicity (Flowers, 1998); a balance between involvement in and disengagement from the marriage (Eckstein & Axford, 1999; Bellah, Madsen, Sullivan, Swidler, & Tipton, 1985; Gottman, 1998); self-consciousness (Flowers, 1998); sexual fulfillment (Flowers, 1998; Christopher & Sprecher, 2000); spousal familial influence (Holman & Larson, 1994; Whyte, 1990); and mental/physical health (Reynolds, Remer, &Johnson, 1995). One of the most important areas of marital adjustment is communication (Clayton, 1986, Deng, 1982 & Obasa, 1990). Nonverbal communication increased interpersonal sensitivity and it was associated with many important aspects of personal and social functioning (Hall, Andrzejewski & Yopchick 2009, Gabriel, Beach, & Bodenmann, 2010).

The claim of relationship between non-verbal communication and marital adjustment has been well grounded and documented. In fact one of the first early researchers on this topic was conducted by Kahn (1970) who studied "non-verbal communication and marital satisfaction ". He found that happy couples scored significantly higher in non-verbal decoding ability than did unhappy couples. In a similar study Noller (1980) found
that husbands and wives high in marital adjustment would have higher
marital communication scale scores than couples who scored low in
marital communication. Husbands were found to make more errors in
decoding their wives non-verbal messages, while females demonstrated
superior encoding skills. Husbands in the low marital adjustment group
sent non-verbal messages compared to the husbands in the high marital
adjustment group. In longitudinal study was found a positive relationship
between communication behaviors (verbally and nonverbally) of the
partner and long-term dyadic adjustment of the partner (Lazaridès &
Bélanger, Sabourin, 2010). The results of research by Yalcin and Karahan
(2007) showed that training of couple communication had a positive effect
on marital adjustment levels by improving communication skills and may
lead to long-term behavioral modifications in couples. Negative premarital
communication was associated with lower marital adjustment after 5 years
of marriage and negative premarital communication significance as
predictors of divorce (Markman and et al, 2010).

The findings suggest that the husbands' communication skills play an
important role in the level of marital adjustment. One of the most
commonly methods in observation is detecting the accuracy in the
decoding of non-verbal messages which is more important for marital
adjustment than accuracy in the encoding of non-verbal messages

Another frequently made observation is based on sex differences which
are present in non-verbal communication ability (Hall, 1984, 1998;
Wagner, Buck, Winterbotham, 1993; but see Ickes, Stinson, Bissonette, &
found that wives are better than their husbands in encoding the positive
effect in their messages regardless of how disturbing the relationship is.
Furthermore, the non-verbal skills of husbands and wives would
differently effect marital adjustment. Noller (1981, 1992) reported that
husbands are responsible for any disturbance in marriages since it is linked
to their faulty decoding and encoding correlated to marital maladjustment.
In a similar vein Gottman and Porterfield (1981) observed that the non-verbal decoding by husbands, rather than wives, mostly is correlated to marital adjustment.

Hooley and Hahlweg (1989) discovered negative non-verbal communication behaviors lead to the escalation of disagreement and the overall interactional dissatisfaction.

Segrin and Abramson (1994) reported that gaze, proximity, smiling and facial expressiveness, close touch, gesticulations, longer speech duration, more frequent head nods, and relatively fast speech are signs of intimacy, engagement, affiliation, immediacy, a generally positive evaluation of the social interaction. They have specifically examined the behaviors which cause such an interpersonal rejection and determined that poor social skills exemplified by inadequate non-verbal communication skills play a major role in interpersonal rejection.

As far as the Iranian society is considered, the authors could not find any literature on marital adjustment and non-verbal communication. Since Iran is dominated by a single religion and more of closed groups, in the present study an attempt is made to find out the relationship between marital adjustment and extent of non-verbal communication. It is hypothesized that extent of non-verbal communication do influence the marital adjustment of the couples.

**Method**

**Sample**

The overall sample size comprised of 120 married couples (120 males and 120 females) from Kerman City of Iran referred to government and private counseling institutions and social support centers. Participants were recruited via counselor or therapist referrals and self referrals. Couples from therapist referrals were not necessarily in therapy or counseling for marital problems (e.g., a child could be in therapy for school problems).
Measures

**Test of non-verbal cue knowledge (TONCK).** The test of Non-verbal Cue Knowledge, a paper and pencil test measuring explicit knowledge of non-verbal cue meaning and use, was developed by Rosip and Hall in 2004 at University of Boston. It consists of 81 items. It measures non-verbal cue knowledge. The respondents will be asked to indicate their responds on the two points given against each statement i.e., "true" and "false". Scoring was done by summing correct answers so that higher values indicate more knowledge of non-verbal cues and Scores may range between 0 and 81. Validity of the tool was established by Rosip & Hall (2004) using Cronbach's alpha technique and it was .89. On the current sample Cronbach's alpha was .69 and test-retest reliability was .70 over a 10 weeks period. Convergent validity was evaluated on the relationship between TONCK and The Diagnostic Analysis of Nonverbal Accuracy-2 Adult Facial Expression Test (DANA2-AF) and The Diagnostic Analysis of Nonverbal Accuracy-2 Child Facial Expression Test (DANA2-CF). Baniasadi and Mortazavi (2009) administered two measures to college students (Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman) and reported a correlation of .33 (r=.33, p>.05, n=300) between DANA2-AF with TONCK and a correlation of .30 (r=.30, p>.05, n= 300) between DANA2-CF with TONCK. Moreover, for discriminative validity, scores on the TONCK were not found related to Raven's Progressive Matrices (IQ) (r=.6, p <.05, n=150).

**Revised dyadic adjustment scale (RDAS).** Busby, Christensen, Crane and Larson (1995) created the Revised Dyadic Adjustment Scale (RDAS) which is a 14-item instrument based on Spanier’s (1976) original 32-item, Dyadic Adjustment Scale. The Revised Dyadic Adjustment Scale includes the consensus, satisfaction and cohesion subscales and Scores ranging from 0 and 69. In assessing the internal consistency it was found that the RDAS had a Cronbach Alpha of .90, a Guttman Split-Half of .94 and a
Spearman-Brown Split-Half of .95. This measure is used to see if a relationship exists between level of relationship satisfaction and perpetration of physical dating violence.

The confirmatory factor analyses provided evidence for the construct validity of the Revised DAS (RDAS) with the distressed, nondistressed, and total samples of this study, as well as with the sample from Spanier and Thompson’s (1982) study. The correlation coefficient between the RDAS and the Marital Adjustment Test (MAT) was .68. In addition, the correlation coefficient between the DAS and the RDAS was .97.

The RDAS was as successful as the DAS at discriminating between distressed and nondistressed samples. RDAS and the DAS were equal in their ability to classify cases as either distressed or nondistressed. Both scales were correctly classified into 81% of the cases. Internal consistency (alpha=.71) obtained on the current sample was .71 and test-retest reliability was .74 over a 10 weeks period, which is sufficiently high indicating the questionnaire has well-established reliability. Convergent validity was evaluated on the relationship between RDAS and Kansas Marital Satisfaction Scale (KMSS) was found .85

**Procedure**

Every couple who indicated a willingness to participate was asked to complete socio-demographic data sheet (age, educational level, and marital years) and then they were administered TONCK and RDAS. In the first session, they were asked to fill in demographic data sheet and answer TONCK. In the second session, they were asked to answer RDAS. Both TONCK and RDAS were administered in Persian language. Later, the answer sheets were verified and answer sheets with incomplete entries and multiple responses for the same question were eliminated

**Statistical Analysis**

Two-way ANOVA was employed to test the significance of difference in the mean marital adjustment scores of subjects with three levels of non-
verbal communication, age, educational level and number of marital years, where marital adjustment scores were taken as dependent variable, and levels of non-verbal communication, gender, age, educational level and marital years as independent variables. The statistical analyses were performed through SPSS for Windows, Version 14 (Evaluation version).

Results

Table 1
Mean Marital Adjustment Scores of the Respondents with Different Levels of Non-Verbal Communication for Gender, Age, Educational Level and Marital Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean Marital adjustment scores of subjects with different levels of non-verbal communication</th>
<th>Overall levels of non-verbal communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>26.47</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30.29</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 25</td>
<td>31.16</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>26.69</td>
<td>5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>12.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>10.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edu level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>25.52</td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below 5</td>
<td>26.46</td>
<td>6.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11+</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>10.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Results of Two-Way ANOVA for Mean Marital Adjustment Scores of the Respondents with Different Levels of Non-Verbal Communication for Gender, Age, Educational Level and Marital Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Hypo.SS</th>
<th>Erro.SS</th>
<th>Hypo.MS</th>
<th>Erro.MS</th>
<th>Hypo. df</th>
<th>Erro. df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-communication levels (A)</td>
<td>7821.86</td>
<td>31809.63</td>
<td>6812.58</td>
<td>3910.93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (B)</td>
<td>158.81</td>
<td>31332.07</td>
<td>158.81</td>
<td>133.89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A x B)</td>
<td>458.74</td>
<td>31332.07</td>
<td>229.37</td>
<td>133.89</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (C)</td>
<td>114.75</td>
<td>4232.68</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>.188</td>
<td>.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A x C)</td>
<td>31.93</td>
<td>4232.68</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>.785</td>
<td>.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Level (C)</td>
<td>8080.56</td>
<td>31550.93</td>
<td>1616.11</td>
<td>134.83</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A x C)</td>
<td>256.38</td>
<td>31550.93</td>
<td>128.19</td>
<td>134.83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>.951</td>
<td>.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Level (C)</td>
<td>1233.73</td>
<td>31149.06</td>
<td>616.86</td>
<td>135.43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction (A x C)</td>
<td>423.07</td>
<td>31149.06</td>
<td>105.76</td>
<td>135.43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>.781</td>
<td>.816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 presents mean marital adjustment scores of couples with different levels of non-verbal communication, gender, age, education level and marital years. Table 2 shows results of two-way ANOVA for mean marital adjustment scores of couples with different levels of non-verbal communication, gender, age, education level and marital years.

Non-communication levels, Gender and Marital adjustment scores

A significant difference was observed in the mean marital adjustment scores of subjects with different levels of non-verbal communication ($F_{2,237}=29.13; P<.001$). The mean marital adjustment scores for subjects with low, medium and high levels of non-verbal communication were of 29.47, 31.47 and 41.35 respectively. Further, Scheffe’s post hoc test revealed that subjects with low levels of non-verbal communication had lesser marital adjustment scores, and subjects with medium and high level of non-verbal communication had higher scores on marital adjustment. Gender-wise comparison revealed a non-significant difference ($F_{1,234}=1.18; P<.277$) in mean marital adjustment scores revealing that male and female subjects had significantly equal marital adjustment scores. The interaction between non-communication levels and grades also was found to be non-significant ($F_{2,234}=1.71; P<.183$).

Non-communication levels, age groups and marital adjustment scores

Subjects with different age groups were found to have statistically equal scores ($F_{4,229}=1.88; P<.114$) of marital adjustment and the interaction effect between non-communication levels and age group was found to be non-significant ($F_{4,229}=7.85; P<.574$) indicating that pattern of marital adjustment scores was same for subjects with different age groups irrespective of the non-communication level they have.

Non-communication levels, Educational levels and marital adjustment scores

Subjects with different levels of education were found to differ significantly on marital adjustment ($F_{1,234}=11.98; P<.001$) where subjects
with higher levels of education had higher marital adjustment scores (mean 34.80) than subjects with lower education levels (mean 29.92). However, the interaction effect between non-verbal communication levels and education levels was found to be non-significant ($F_{2,234} = .951; P < .388$).

**Non-communication levels, marital years and marital adjustment scores**

When the number of years marriage is considered, a significant difference ($F_{2,230} = 4.55; P < .014$) was observed between subjects with different marital years where subjects with above 11 years marriage had higher marital adjustment scores (mean 36.11) than subjects with 6-10 years marriage (mean 34.18) and below 5 years marriage (mean 34.00). Even, the interaction effect between non-communication levels and marital years was found ($F_{4,230} = .781; P < .816$) to be non-significant.

**Discussion**

**Main Findings of the Present Study are,**

- As the non-verbal communication levels increased, marital adjustment of the couples increased linearly.
- Subjects with higher educational levels had higher marital adjustment scores than subjects with lower educational levels.
- Subjects with above 11 years marriage had higher marital adjustment scores than subjects with 6-10 years marriage and below 5 years marriage.
- Gender did not have significant influence over marital adjustment of the subjects.

The present study is an agreement with the studies done about the important role of communication on increasing marital adjustment (Lazaridès & Bélanger, Sabourin, 2010, Yalcin and Karahan 2007 & Markman and et al, 2010). Hall, Andrzejewski and Yopchick 2009 and Gabriel, Beach, and Bodenmann, 2010 indicated that nonverbal communication increased interpersonal sensitivity and it was associated with many important aspects of personal and social functioning.
Also the findings of the present study are in agreement with the studies conducted in West. Relationship between non-communication skills and marital adjustment is not simple and straightforward, but rather is quite complex, varying as a function of several moderating factors. Non-communication skills and marital adjustment were positively associated among non-distressed couples, but negatively associated among distressed couples (Burleson & Denton, 1997). The results indicate a relationship between marital adjustment and the accuracy of non-verbal communication (Kahn, 1970). The relationship between marital satisfaction and non-verbal decoding ability has been well researched and documented. One of the first early researchers on this topic was Kahn (1970) who studied "non-verbal communication and marital satisfaction". He found that happy couples scored significantly higher in non-verbal decoding ability than did unhappy couples. In similar study, Noller (1980) found that husbands and wives high in marital adjustment would have higher marital communication scale scores than couples who scored low in marital communication. Husbands were found to make more errors in decoding their wives' non-verbal messages while females demonstrated superior encoding skills. Husbands in the low marital adjustment group sent less non-verbal messages than husbands in the high marital adjustment group. These findings suggest that the husbands' communication skills play an important role in the level of marital adjustment. The study by Koerner & Fitzpatrick (2002) revealed husbands were better than wives at decoding positive affect. John (2002) found non-verbal decoding ability and the level of marital adjustment are significantly related, even after controlling for feelings of depression and accuracy at decoding spouses' non-verbal messages increases overtime irrespective of marital adjustment.

A study by Steven (2001) indicated that higher educated couples have an easier time collaborating with each other as opposed to compromising their wants. These collaboration skills of higher educated couples will need further investigation. Further, Heaton (2002) concluded that
homogeneity in the areas like age, level of education, race, and religion, is associated with increased levels of marital satisfaction.

Knowledge of non-verbal communication can give the couples appropriate knowledge and skills to understand their emotions, needs, desires, expectations in a better way, and it induces them to increase the marital adjustment. Every grin, lip-compression, smile, gaze, arm-cross, hand on hips, head shake, head tilt, ear rub, body orientation, itching and tone of voice convey some secret messages that they symbolize the information exchanging between the couple. Beside, sometimes in real situations couple can’t use words to express their emotions, needs, desires, expectations; especially their feeling or sometimes it is difficult for couple to say and to speak directly about them. In fact, they prefer to use non-verbal communication to transfer their feeling, desires and expectations instead of verbal language. Hence, increasing the ability of couple in decoding and encoding their body language through the knowledge of non-verbal definitely was important in building intimacy, establishing rapport, constructing trust, augmenting satisfaction and increasing adjustment.

Furthermore, Finding showed that couples above 11 years marriage had higher marital adjustment than couples with 6-10 years of marriage and below 5 years of marriage. After several years living together, the couples will be more familiar with their desires and demands and their expectations are mostly clarified, their understandings are promoted, their intimacy, and bonds are enhanced and their relationship will be strengthened. The marriage couples have learnt to support each other in stressful events during a long period of time and they can adjust themselves to ward off stress, while maintaining a satisfactory marriage. They have agreed about social intercourse especially with their parents while respecting their differences because they accept each other with love and affection unanimously. In generally, the assessment and evaluation of realistic beliefs, attitudes about the marriage and remembering the goals of the marriage throughout the marital life would yield stability in marriage.
The result of present study is parallel with the studies which have been done earlier. Patel and Patel (2009) as the duration of marriage increases, the marital adjustment tends towards the best proportionally. Therefore, both the education and duration of marriage are positively correlated to the marital adjustment. Orbuch et al. (1996) found marital adjustment decline in the early years of marriage and increase in the later years. Miller (2000) concluded that there is a significant in decrease marital satisfaction in the early stages of the marriage. Steven(2001) reported where longer a couple is married the more attached they seem to be with each other, which in turn leads to higher marital adjustment.

This study attempts to investigate the relationship between non-verbal communication with marital adjustment. Result showed that there was a positive relationship between non-verbal communication with marital adjustment.

Every nonverbal message such as facial expression, touching, eye contact, posture, tone of voice, distance and gesture convey some secret messages that they symbolize the information exchanging between the couple. Moreover, attaining of the non-verbal communication skills benefited couples to understand each other of their hidden non-verbal communication messages. For example, when husband blinks and does, head tilts, eyebrow raising, he produces negative impression on his wife and with modification and change his non-verbal communication produces the most positive effect. Besides, Attaining of the non-verbal communication skills benefits to couples to reduce their conflict during the process of non-verbal communication while sending and receiving messages, to find the best possible solutions for probable misunderstanding and misinterpreting, and to develop the best mutual understanding and finally to have a better life style and quality of life.

To conclude, one can definitely say that non-verbal communication does affect marital adjustment positively. It is recommended that the Family counselors should focus on improving non-verbal communication skills among disturbed couples, which will have positive impact on marital
adjustment and design appropriate techniques to improve non-verbal communication to have a better life style and quality of life among couples.
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